

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/936,917	09/20/2001	John Anthony Sullivan	2165JB.45631	3054	
	7	590 08/21/2003				
	James E Brad			EXAM	EXAMINER CHOI, STEPHEN	
	Bracewell & Pa			CHOL ST		
	PO Box 61389 Houston, TX 77208-1389					
	riousion, 1A	77200-1309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3724	-	
				DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003		
					0	
					~	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>.</u>							
		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
`		09/936,917	SULLIVAN, JOHN ANTHONY				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Stephen Choi	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 4 \\⊠							
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{16J}$ This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes Thi	<u>urie 2003</u> . is action is non-final.	,				
·	,—		consultion as to the marite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	Disposition of Claims						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-61,63-83,85-90 and 103</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>61 and 89</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-60,63-83, 85-88,90 and 103</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
· · · _	•	•					
·	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, (=, =, (-,				
·	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment	(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4.</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/936,917

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election without traverse of Species C in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Applicant indicated that all claims are readable on the elected species.
 The examiner respectfully disagrees. Claim 61 reads on the species E. Claim 89 reads on the species F.

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: It does not identify the city and either state or foreign country of residence of each inventor. The residence information may be provided on either on an application data sheet or supplemental oath or declaration.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 66. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the toothed further timing belt must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,917

Art Unit: 3724

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Specification

5. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claim 82 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The toothed further timing belt set forth in claim 82 is not supported by the specification.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 54-60, 63-83, 85-88, 90, and 103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,917

Art Unit: 3724

In claim 83, lines 12-14, starting at "the arrangement..." appears to be repeating lines 9-12 starting at "the arrangement...the sheet" except for "the drive transmitting means" on line 11. "the drive transmitting means" lacks positive antecedent basis.

In claim 59, "the drive transmitting means" lacks positive antecedent basis.

In claims 75-76, 78-80, "conveyor means" lacks positive antecedent basis.

In claim 79, it is not clear what structure is set forth by "driven by a servo electric motor". Is this referring to "a servo-motor" in claim 83? It is not clear what structure is set forth by "the timing of the motor being controlled by the processing machinery".

In claims 56-57, "the roll set" lacks positive antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 54-60, 63-83, 85-88, 90, and 103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 96/29269 to Sullivan (hereafter '269) in view of Thiel et al. (US 6,220,134).

"269 discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a sensing means and a microprocessor. Thiel discloses a sensing means (12) and a microprocessor (17). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a sensing means and a microprocessor as taught by

Application/Control Number: 09/936,917

Art Unit: 3724

Page 5

Thiel on the device of '269 in order control the operation of feeding and processing sequentially on demand to provide a device capable of processing the variety of sizes. Regarding claims 54-55, 63-66, 85-87, and 103, the modified device of '269 is capable of controlling the feed of the material in conjunction with the operation of roll set so as to provide coordinated operation with variable speed.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Byrt et al., Einem et al., Feldkamper et al., Nakagawa et al., Sardella et al., Wathieu, and Smithe et al. are cited to show related devices.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Choi whose telephone number is 703-306-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 9am and 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302 (703-872-9303 for after final). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

SC

August 18, 2003

Stephen Choi Patent Examiner